Distributed Governance with Scribble



Beat 2 24th September, 2013

Nicholas Ng and Nobuko Yoshida Imperial College London

In collaboration with: Matthew Arrott (OOI) Gary Brown (Red Hat) Stephen Henrie (OOI) **Bippin Makoond (Cognizant)** Michael Meisinger (OOI) Matthew Rawlings (ISO TC68 WG4/5) Alexis Richardson (RabbitMQ/VMware) Steve Ross-Talbot (Cognizant)

and all our academic colleagues

Laura Bocchi, Tzu-Chun Chen, Romain Demangeon, Pierre-Malo Denielóu, Luca Fossati, Dimitrios Kouzapas, Rumyana Neykova, Nicholas Ng, Weizhen Yang

Ocean Observatories Initiative

- A NSF project (400M\$, 5 Years) to build a cyberinfrastructure for observing oceans around US and beyond.
- Real-time sensor data constantly coming from both off-shore and on-shore (e.g. buoys, submarines, under-water cameras, satellites), transmitted via high-speed networks.





Ocean Observatories Initiative





Challenges

- The need to specify, catalogue, program, implement and manage *multiparty message passing protocols*.
- Communication assurance
 - Correct message ordering and synchronisation
 - Deadlock-freedom, progress and liveness
 - > Dynamic message monitoring and recovery
 - Logical constraints on message values
- Shared and used over a long-term period (e.g. 30 years in OOI).

Why Multiparty Session Types?

- Robin Milner (2002): *Types are the leaven of computer programming; they make it digestible.*
 - \implies Can describe communication protocols as *types* \implies Can be materialised as *new communications*

programming languages and tool chains.

- Scalable automatic verifications (deadlock-freedom, safety and liveness) without state-space explosion problems (polynomial time complexity).
 - Extendable to *logical verifications* and flexible *dynamic monitoring*.



CDL Equivalent

• Basic example:

package HelloWorld {

roleType YouRole, WorldRole; participantType You{YouRole}, World{WorldRole}; relationshipType YouWorldRel between YouRole and WorldRole; channelType WorldChannelType with roleType WorldRole;

```
choreography Main {
```

WorldChannelType worldChannel;

```
interaction operation=hello from=YouRole to=WorldRole
    relationship=YouWorldRel channel=worldChannel {
    request messageType=Hello;
}
```

Dr Gary Brown (Pi4 Tech) in 2007

Scribble Protocol

 "Scribbling is necessary for architects, either physical or computing, since all great ideas of architectural construction come from that unconscious moment, when you do not realise what it is, when there is no concrete shape, only a whisper which is not a whisper, an image which is not an image, somehow it starts to urge you in your mind, in so small a voice but how persistent it is, at that point you start scribbling" - Kohei Honda 2007

Basic example:

protocol HelloWorld { role You, World; Hello from You to World;



















Session Types Overview



Properties

- Communication safety (no communication mismatch)
- Communication fidelity (the communication follow the protocol)
- Progress (no deadlock/stuck in a session)

Evolution Of MPST

- Binary Session Types [THK98, HVK98]
- Multiparty Session Types [POPL'08]
- A Theory of Design-by-Contract for Distributed Multiparty Interactions [Concur'l I]
- Multiparty Session Types Meet Communicating Automata [ESOP'12, ICALP'13]
- Network Monitoring through Multiparty Session Types [FMOODS'13]

- SPY: Local Verification of Global Protocols [RV'13]
- Distributed Runtime Verification with Session Types and Python [RV'13]





Ocean Observatory Initiative (OOI)

OOI aims: to deploy an infrastructure (global network) to expand the scientists' ability to remotely study the ocean



Usage: Integrate real-time data acquisition, processing and data storage for ocean research,...



OOI: verification challenges

- applications written in different languages, running on
 heterogeneous hardware in an asynchronous network.
- different authentication domains, external untrusted applications
- various distributed protocols
- requires correct, safe interactions



Session Types for Runtime Verification

Methodology

- Developers design
 protocols in a dedicated
 language Scribble
- Well-fomedness is checked by Scribble tools
- Protocols are projected into local types
- Local types generate monitors



Content

I. Writing correct global protocols with Scribble Compiler

2. Verify programs via *local monitors*

3. Build additional verification modules via *annotations*







Content

I. Writing correct global protocols with Scribble Compiler

2. Verify programs via *local monitors*

3. Build additional verification modules via *annotations*

Meet Scribble



Protocol Language

"Scribbling is necessary for architects, either physical or computing, since all great ideas of architectural construction come from that unconscious moment, when you do not realise what it is, when there is no concrete shape, only a whisper which is not a whisper, an image which is not an image, somehow it starts to urge you in your mind, in so small a voice but how persistent it is, at that point you start scribbling." Kohei Honda 2007.

What is scribble?

Scribble is a language to describe application-level protocols among communicating systems. A protocol represents an agreement on how participating systems interact with each other. Without a protocol, it is hard to do a meaningful interaction: participants simply cannot communicate effectively, since they do not know when to expect the other parties to send their data, or whether the other party is ready to receive a datum it is sending. In fact it is not clear what kinds of data is to be used for each interaction. It is too costly to carry out communications based on guess works and with inevitable communication mismatch (synchronisation bugs). Simply, it is not feasible as an engineering practice.



> Protocol Language Guide

Follow me on GitHub

Downloads

> Java Tools

Community

- > Discussion Forum
- > Java Tools
 - leenee
 - Wiki
- > Python Tools
 - Issues Wiki



A Global Protocol



type <python> "StringType" from "Lib/types.py" as str; Import type protocol def \longrightarrow global protocol Negotiation(role P, role R, role A) { send-receive \longrightarrow offer(string) from P to R; offer(string) from R to A; (string) from A to R; rec START { recursion choice at R { choice accept() from R to P; confirm() from P to R; \mathbf{r} offer(string) from R to P; (conditions:string) from P to R; continue START; \mathbf{r} reject() from R to P; confirm() from R to P;}}



Two Buyer Protocol in Scribble

module Bookstore;

```
type <java> "java.lang.Integer" from "rt.jar" as Integer;
type <java> "java.lang.String" from "rt.jar" as String;
global protocol TwoBuyers(role A, role B, role S) {
   title(String) from A to S;
   quote(Integer) from S to A, B;
   rec LOOP {
                                                                           Buyer 2
                                                                 Seller
                                                    Buyer 1
       share(Integer) from A to B;
       choice at B {
           accept(address:String) from B to A, S;
           date(String) from S to B;
                                                           title
       } or {
                                                                       quote
                                                           quote
           retry() from B to A, S;
           continue LOOP;
                                                           quote ÷2
       } or {
                                                                    .....ok...
           quit() from B to A, S;
                                                                      address
}
       }
```

Buyer: A local projection



```
module Bookstore_TwoBuyers_A;
```

D

```
type <java> "java.lang.Integer" from "rt.jar" as Integer;
type <java> "java.lang.String" from "rt.jar" as String;
```

```
local protocol TwoBuyers_A at A(role A, role B, role S) {
  title(String) to S;
  quote(Integer) from S;
  rec LOOP {
    share(Integer) to B;
    choice at B {
      accept(address:String) from B;
    } or {
      retry() from B;
      continue LOOP;
    } or {
      quit() from B;
    } }
```



Let's catch some errors: Well-formedness

```
global protocol Protocol1(role A, role B) {
   choice at A {
       m1() from A to B;
   } or {
       m2() from A to B; } }
global protocol Protocol2(role A, role B, role C) {
   choice at A {
       m1() from A to B;
       m1() from B to C; // Additional step
   } or {
       m2() from A to B; } }
global protocol Protocol3(role A, role B, role C) {
   choice at A {
       m1() from A to B;
       m1() from B to C;
   } or {
       m1() from A to B; // Copy-paste error
       m2() from B to C; } }
```



Application-level service call composition



Scoping



```
global protocol ServiceCall(role Client, role Service) {
   () from Client to Server;
   () from Server to Client;
}
// By composing basic ServiceCalls
global protocol P2(role C, role S1, role S2, role S3, role S4)
{
   () from C to S1;
       do ServiceCall(S1 as Client, S2 as Server);
       () from S1 to S3;
           do ServiceCall(S3 as Client, S4 as Server);
           do ServiceCall(S3 as Client, S4 as Serve
                                                                        S2
       () from S3 to S1;
                                                            RPC---
   () from S1 to C;
                                                                    RPC
}
                                                                    RPC
                                                                               RPC
                                                                               RPC
```

session type

Scoping

```
// "Middleman" pattern
global protocol Middleman(
   role L, role M, role R, role S)
ſ
 () from L to M;
 do ServiceCall(M as Client, S as Server);
 do ServiceCall(M as Client, S as Server);
 () from M to R;
}
// By composing ServiceCall and Middleman patterns
global protocol P3(role C, role S1, role S2, role S3, role S4)
{
   () from C to S1;
       do ServiceCall(S1 as Client, S2 as Server);
                                                           RPC---
       do Middleman(S1 as L, S3 as M, S4 as R);
                                                                   RPC
    () from S1 to C;
}
                                                                   RPC
                                                                               RPC
                                                                               RPC
```

Negotiation protocol in Scribble









Negotiation protocol in Scribble

```
global protocol Negotiation2(role I, role C) {
          propose(SAP) from I to C;
          do NegotiationAux(I as I, C as C);
}
global protocol NegotiationAux(role I, role C) {
                    choice at C {
                              accept() from C to I;
                                                                                                                                             Consumer
                                                                                                                                                                                        Provider
                              confirm() from I to C;
                                                                                                                                               Agent
                                                                                                                                                                                         Agent
                    } or {
                                                                                                                                                            negotiate: request(SAP_1)
                                                                                                                                                                                                     Confirm is the
                                                                                                                             Negotiation starting by a
                                                                                                                                                                                                  complementary accept
                                                                                                                           Consumer making a proposal,
then accepted by Provider and
confirmed by Consumer
                                                                                                                                                          negotiate: accept(SAP 1, details)
                                                                                                                                                                                                  by the other party (both
                             propose(SAP) from C to I;
                                                                                                                                                                                                   must accept for an
                                                                                                                                                            negotiate: confirm(SAP_1)
                                                                                                                                                                                                      agreement)
                             do NegotiationAux(C as I, I as C);
                                                                                                                                                                                                 With a mutual accept, at
                                                                                                                                                                                                  least one commitment
                    } or{
                                                                                                                                            ALT
                                                                                                                                                                                                   on each side of the
                                                                                                                                                             negotiate: invite(SAP_1)
                                                                                                                                                                                                   conversation results
                                                                                                                            Negotiation starting by the 
Provider inviting a Consumer
                                                                                                                                                                                                  (may be multiple). The
                                                                                                                                                          negotiate: accept(SAP 1, details)
                             reject() from C to I;
                                                                                                                                                                                                  contract is as stated in
                                                                                                                            with a proposal, accepted by
                                                                                                                                                                                                  the most recent SAP
                                                                                                                               sumer and confirmed by
                                                                                                                                                             negotiate: confirm(SAP 1)
                    }
                                                                                                                                            ALT
          }
                                                                                                                                                             negotiate: request(SAP_1)
                                                                                                                                                                                                  A counter-propose is a
                                                                                                                                                                                                  new SAP, but it typically
                                                                                                                                                         negotiate: counter-propose(SAP 2)
                                                                                                                             Negotiation starting by a
                                                                                                                                                                                                   refines or partially
}
                                                                                                                           Consumer making a proposal.

The recipient (Provider) makes

a counter-proposal, supplanting

SAP_1, which is then accepted

by Consumer and confirmed by

the Provider.
                                                                                                                                                                                                  modifies the prior SAP.
                                                                                                                                                          negotiate: accept(SAP_2, details)
                                                                                                                                                            negotiate: confirm(SAP_2)
                                                                                                                                            ALT
                                                                                                                                                             negotiate: request(SAP 1)
                                                                                                                                                                                                   Any party can reject
                                                                                                                                                                                                   instead of counter-
                                                                                                                             Negotiation starting by a
                                                                                                                                                             negotiate: reject(SAP_1)
                                                                                                                             nsumer making a proposal,
icted by the Provider ending
                                                                                                                                                                                                   propose (or accept)
                                                                                                                               the Negotiation
```



I. Writing correct global protocols with Scribble Compiler

2. Verify programs via *local monitors*

3. Build additional verification modules via *annotations*

Local Protocol Conformance





FSM Generator









I. Writing correct global protocols with Scribble Compiler

2. Verify programs via *local monitors*

3. Build additional verification modules via *annotations*



Annotations = @{Logic} Scribble Construct

```
{assertion: payment + overdraft>=1000}
offer(payment: int) from C to I;
```

```
•••
```

```
•••
```

```
@{deadline: 5s}
```

```
offer(payment: int) from C to I;
```

•••

```
•••
```

```
rec Loop {
@{guard: repeat<10}
```

```
offer(payment: int) from C to I;
```

...

```
    The monitor passes
        {'type':param, ...}
        to the upper layers
```

- Upper layers recognize and process the annotation type or discard it
- Stateful assertion



Scribble Community

- Webpage:
 - www.scribble.org
- GitHub:
 - https://github.com/scribble
- Tutorial:

- www.doc.ic.ac.uk/~rhu/scribble/tutorial.html
- Specification (0.3)
 - www.doc.ic.ac.uk/~rhu/scribble/langref.html

A theory for network monitoring



- Formalise MPST-monitoring and asynchronous networks.
- Introduce monitors as first-class objects in the theory
- Justify monitoring by soundness theorems.
 - Safety
 - monitors enforces specification conformance.
 - Transparency
 - monitors does not affect correct behaviours.
 - Fidelity
 - correspondence to global types is maintained.

Multiparty Sessions for Runtime Monitors

Formal Semantics

$$\begin{split} [\overline{a}\langle s[\mathbf{r}]:T\rangle]_{\alpha} \mid \langle r;h\rangle & \longrightarrow \quad [\mathbf{0}]_{\alpha} \mid \langle r;h \cdot \overline{a}\langle s[\mathbf{r}]:T\rangle\rangle \\ [a(y[\mathbf{r}]:T).P]_{\alpha} \mid \langle r;\overline{a}\langle s[\mathbf{r}]:T\rangle \cdot h\rangle & \longrightarrow \quad [P[s/y]]_{\alpha} \mid \langle r \cdot s[\mathbf{r}] \mapsto \alpha;h\rangle^{\dagger} \\ [s[\mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2}]!l_{j}\langle v\rangle]_{\alpha} \mid \langle r;h\rangle & \longrightarrow \quad [\mathbf{0}]_{\alpha} \mid \langle r;h \cdot s\langle \mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2},l_{j}\langle v\rangle\rangle\rangle^{\dagger\dagger} \\ [s[\mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2}]?\{l_{i}(x_{i}).P_{i}\}_{i}]_{\alpha} \mid \langle r;s\langle \mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2},l_{j}\langle v\rangle\rangle \cdot h\rangle & \longrightarrow \quad [P_{j}[v/x_{j}]]_{\alpha} \mid \langle r;h\rangle^{\dagger\dagger\dagger} \end{split}$$

$$\dagger: r(a) = \alpha \qquad \qquad \dagger \dagger: r(s[r_2]) \neq \alpha \qquad \dagger \dagger \dagger: r(s[r_2]) = \alpha$$

- > processes P located at principals α
 - Abstracts local applications
- router r
 - abstracts network routing information updated on-the-fly



Specifications

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} &::= \emptyset \mid \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \alpha : \langle \boldsymbol{\Gamma}; \boldsymbol{\Delta} \rangle, \\ \boldsymbol{\Gamma} &::= \emptyset \mid \boldsymbol{\Gamma}, a :?(\boldsymbol{T}[\mathbf{r}]) \mid \boldsymbol{\Gamma}, a :!(\boldsymbol{T}[\mathbf{r}]) \quad \boldsymbol{\Delta} ::= \emptyset \mid \boldsymbol{\Delta}, s[\mathbf{r}] : \boldsymbol{T}, \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma} : \text{ spec., } \boldsymbol{\Delta} : \text{ session env, } \boldsymbol{\Gamma} : \text{ shared env.} \end{split}$$

Monitors

$$\mathsf{M} = \alpha : \langle \mathsf{\Gamma}; \mathsf{\Delta} \rangle$$

 Monitors are introduced as component of monitored networks

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{M} \xrightarrow{s[\mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2}]!I\langle v\rangle} \mathsf{M}' \quad r(s[\mathbf{r}_{2}]) \neq \alpha \\ \hline [s[\mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2}]!I\langle v\rangle]_{\alpha} \mid \mathsf{M}|\langle r \ ; \ h\rangle \longrightarrow [\mathbf{0}]_{\alpha} \mid \mathsf{M}'|\langle r \ ; \ h \cdot s\langle \mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2},I\langle v\rangle\rangle\rangle \\ \hline \mathsf{M} \xrightarrow{s[\mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2}]!I\langle v\rangle} \\ \hline [s[\mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2}]!I\langle v\rangle]_{\alpha} \mid \mathsf{M} \mid \langle r \ ; \ h\rangle \longrightarrow [\mathbf{0}]_{\alpha} \mid \mathsf{M} \mid \langle r \ ; \ h\rangle \end{array}$$

Satisfaction

The satisfaction relation $\models N : \Sigma$ relates networks and specification:

- if Σ expects an input, N should be able to process it.
- if N performs an output, Σ should be expecting it.
- still holds after reduction (coinductive definition).

Satisfaction equivalence

If $N_1 \cong N_2$ and $\models N_1 : \Sigma$ then $\models N_2 : \Sigma$.

Results (Safety)

Local Safety

 $\models [P]_{\alpha} \mid \mathsf{M} : \alpha : \langle \mathsf{\Gamma}; \Delta \rangle \text{ with } \mathsf{M} = \alpha : \langle \mathsf{\Gamma}; \Delta \rangle.$

A monitored process satisfies its specification.

Global Safety

If N is fully monitored w.r.t. Σ , then $\models N : \Sigma$.

monitored networks behave as expected.

Results (Transparency)

Local Transparency

If $\models [P]_{\alpha} : \alpha : \langle \Gamma; \Delta \rangle$, then $[P]_{\alpha} \approx ([P]_{\alpha} \mid M)$ with $M = \alpha : \langle \Gamma; \Delta \rangle$.

- unmonitored correct processes are undistinguishable from their monitored counterparts.
- allows one to mix monitored and typechecked processes.

Global Transparency

Assume N and N have the same global transport $\langle r ; h \rangle$. Assume:

- 1. N is fully monitored w.r.t. Σ and
- **2**. $N = M | \langle r ; h \rangle$ is unmonitored but $\models M : \Sigma$.

We have $N \cong N$.

- monitors does not alterate behaviors of correct networks.
- monitor actions are not observable on correct components.

Results (Fidelity)

- a configuration is consistent: when it corresponds to a well-formed array of global types (G₁,..., G_n) through projection.
- conformance is satisfaction + receivability (queue can be emptied).

Session Fidelity

Assume:

- **1**. configuration Σ ; $\langle r ; h \rangle$ is consistent,
- **2**. network $N \equiv M | \langle r ; h \rangle$ conforms to configuration $\Sigma; \langle r ; h \rangle$.

For any ℓ , whenever we have $N \xrightarrow{\ell}_g N'$ s.t. $\Sigma; \langle r; h \rangle \xrightarrow{\ell}_g \Sigma'; \langle r'; h' \rangle$, it holds that $\Sigma'; \langle r'; h' \rangle$ is consistent and N' conforms to $\Sigma'; \langle r'; h' \rangle$.

- consistence is preserved by reduction,
- at any time, the network correspond to a well-formed specification.

Summary



- Having a context allows to control the communication
- Having granularity allows to specify constraints on the interactions
- Early error detection is much cheaper
- High-level policies on top of protocol verification
- Good abstraction means easy programming you program with send and receive (no threads, sockets, channels)



Figure 5: A coordinated set of autonomous underwater vehicles



Figure 3: Observatory comprised of ships, aircraft and autonomous vehicles linked to assimilation modeling capabilities on shore





RESOURCES

- All Resources
- Data Products
- 88 Observatories
- Platforms
- Instruments

Welcome to Release 2 of the Ocean Observatories Initiative Observatory (OOI). You already have access to many OOI features and real-time data. Just click on something that looks interesting on this page to start using the OOI as our Guest.

For personalized services, such as setting up notifications and preserving settings for your next visit, create a free account by clicking on "Create Account" at the top of the page.



National Science Foundation working with Consortium for Ocean Leadership

Funding for the Ocean Observatories Initiative is provided by the National Science Foundation through a Cooperative Agreement with the Consortium for Ocean Leadership. The OOI Program Implementing Organizations are funded through sub-awards from the Consor-

CURRENT LOCATION

Location



DATA LEGEND

temperature.	× .	1 HOUR
Salinity		2 hours
Oxygen	1	3 hours
Density		5 hours
Currents		8 hours
Sea Surface Height (SSH)		12 hours
Chlorophyll		18 hours
Turbidity	~	24 hours
pH		48 Hours
Seismology	1	72 Hours
Other	1	
	Salinity Salinity Oxygen Density Currents Sea Surface Height (SSH) Chlorophyll Turbidity pH Seismology Other	Salinity Salinity Oxygen Density Currents Sea Surface Height (SSH) Chlorophyll Turbidity pH Seismology Other



	NAME	DATE	TYPE	EVENT	DESCRIPTION	NOTE
0 01 m	Oregon Coast North Salinity	2012-01-10 23:55:55	Type	Event	Description goes here	Note goes her
0 01 m	California South 100m pH	2012-01-10 23:55:55	Type	Event	Description goes here	Note goes her
O 01m	California South salinity	2012-01-10 23:55:55	Type	Event	Description goes here	Note goes her
O 03 m	Oregon North Turbidity	2012-01-10 23:55:55	Type	Event	Description goes here	Note goes her
O 05 m	Oregon SouthTemperature	2012-01-10 23:55:55	Type	Event	Description goes here	Note goes her
0 20 m	Oregon Coast Currents	2012-01-10 23:55:55	Type	Event	Description goes here	Note goes her
O 01 h	California South Seismology	2012-01-10 23:55:55	Type	Event	Description goes here	Note goes her
0 01 h	Oregon Coast South 1000m Ox	2012-01-10 23:55:55	Type	Event	Description goes here	Note goes her
0 02 h	California Coast Seismology	2012-01-10 23:55:55	Type	Event	Description goes here	Note goes her
O 04 h	California North Seismology	2012-01-10 23:55:55	Type	Event	Description goes here	Note goes her

Dashboard

RECENT IMAGES



Glider Last Modified: 2011-06-15 Last Viewed: 2011-12-15 Last Updated: 2011-12-30, 13.24



Gorgonian Coral Last Modified: 2011-06-15 Last Viewed: 2011-12-15 Last Updated: 2011-12-30, 13.24

Acoustic Release Last Modified: 2011-06-15 Last Viewed: 2011-12-15

Last Updated: 2011-12-30, 13.24

POPULAR RESOURCES

SeaBird CDT

Last Modified: 2011-06-15 Last Viewed: 2011-12-15 Last Updated: 2011-12-30, 13.24

Marine caption Last Modified: 2011-06-15 Last Viewed: 2011-12-15 Last Updated: 2011-12-30, 13.24



Surface Buoy Last Modified: 2011-06-15 Last Viewed: 2011-12-15 Last Updated: 2011-12-30, 13.24

UNUSUAL EVENTS



Oregon Coast Wave Heigh Last Modified: 2011-06-15 Last Viewed: 2011-12-15 Last Updated: 2011-12-30, 13.24



Water Surface Elevation Last Modified: 2011-06-15 Last Viewed: 2011-12-15 Last Updated: 2011-12-30, 13.24

		1000
AGE	RELATED	CC

MPOSITE

Multiparty Session Type Theory Multiparty Asynchronous Session Types [POPL'08] Progress Global Progress in Dynamically Interleaved Multiparty Sessions [CONCUR'08], [Math. Struct. Comp. Sci.] Inference of Progress Typing [Coordination'13] Asynchronous Optimisations and Resource Analysis Global Principal Typing in Partially Commutative Asynchronous Sessions [ESOP'09] Higher-Order Pi-Calculus [TLCA'07,TLCA'09] Buffered Communication Analysis in Distributed Multiparty Sessions [CONCUR'10]

Logics

- Design-by-Contract for Distributed Multiparty Interactions [CONCUR'10]
- Specifying Stateful Asynchronous Properties for Distributed Programs [CONCUR'12]
- Multiparty, Multi-session Logic [TGC'12]
- Extensions of Multiparty Session Types
 - Multiparty Symmetric Sum Types [Express'10]
 - Parameterised Multiparty Session Types [FoSSaCs'10, LMCS]
- Global Escape in Multiparty Sessions [FSTTCS'10] [Math. Struct. Comp. Sci.]
- Dynamic Multirole Session Types [POPL'11]
 - Nested Multiparty Sessions [CONCUR'12]

Dynamic Monitoring

- Asynchronous Distributed Monitoring for Multiparty Session Enforcement [TGC'11]
- Monitoring Networks through Multiparty Sessions [FORTE'13]
- Automata Theories
 - Multiparty Session Automata [ESOP'12]
 - Synthesis in Communicating Automata [ICALP'13]
- Typed Behavioural Theories
 - On Asynchronous Eventful Session Semantics [FORTE'11] [Math. Struct. Comp. Sci.]
 - Governed Session Semantics [CONCUR'13]
- Choreography Languages
 - Compositional Choreographies [CONCUR'13]



Session Type Projects

SADEA EPSRC Exploiting Parallelism through Type Transformations for Hybrid Manycore Systems, with Vanderbauwhede, Scholz, Gay and Luk

Programme GrantEPSRC From Data Types to Session Types: ABasis for Concurrency and Distribution, with Wadler and Gay

EPSRC Conversation-Based Governance for Distributed Systems by Multiparty Session Types



FETOpen UpScale with de Boer, Clark, Drossopoulou, Johnsen and Wrigstad

VMware Dynamic Assurance based on Multiparty Session Types



Cognizant EPSRC Knowledge Transfer Secondments

Session Type Reading List

- **ESOP'98]** Honda, Vasconcelos and Kubo, Language Primitives and Type Disciplines for Structured Communication-based Programming,
 - [SecRet'06] Yoshida and Vasconcelos, Language Primitives and Type Disciplines for Structured Communication-based Programming Revisited, ENTCS.
- **[ECOOP'08]** Hu, Yoshida and Honda, Session-Based Distributed Programming in Java
 - [POPL'08] Carbone, Yoshida and Honda, Multiparty Asynchronous Session Types
 - [WS-FM'09] Dezani-Ciancaglini and de'Liguoro, Sessions and Session Types
 - [TOOLS'12] Ng, Yoshida and Honda, Multiparty Session C
- [CONCUR'10] Caires and Pfenning, Session Types as Intuitionistic Linear Propositions; [ICFP'12] Walker, as Classical Linear Propositions.
- [OOI] Video by John Orcutt, Professor of Geophysics, UCSD, Ocean Observing: Oceanography in the 21st Century

A rare cluster of qualities

From the team of OOI CI:

Kohei has lead us deep into the nature of communication and processing. His esthetics, precision and enthusiasm for our mutual pursuit of formal Session (Conversation) Types and specifically for our OOI collaboration to realize this vision in very concrete terms were, as penned by Henry James, lessons in seeing the nuances of both beauty and craft, through a rare cluster of qualities - curiosity, patience and perception; all at the perfect pitch of passion and expression.